ISSN: 2330-4871

Review Article | Open Access



Physiology of Cardiac Arrest with del Nido Cardioplegia

McKenna Longacre, MD^{1,2}, Juan C. Ibla, MD^{1,2} and Koichi Yuki, MD^{1,2*}

¹Division of Cardiac Anesthesia, Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115, USA

²Department of Anaesthesia, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, 02115, USA

Abstract

Del Nido cardioplegia is widely used in current pediatric cardiac surgery practice in North America and its application is also being extended to adult cardiac surgical procedures. Here we will review the physiology of del Nido cardioplegia based on our personal discussion with Dr. del Nido and the published literature.

Keywords

del Nido cardioplegia, Intracellular calcium, Anaerobic metabolism

Introduction

The implementation of cardioplegia has increased the safety of cardiac surgery by reducing ischemic injury to myocytes during cardiopulmonary bypass (myocardial protection), and has increasing the scope of surgery, enabling a still, bloodless field. Several cardioplegia solutions are available in the US and abroad, with significant variability with respect to crystalloid bases, temperature, intracellular versus extracellular delivery, addition of blood, electrolyte composition, osmolality, and addition of sodium channel blockers, etc. Of these, del Nido cardioplegia, developed at the University of Pittsburg in the early 1990s, is the only commercially available formulation specifically for the neonatal myocardium (Table 1).

Physiologic Principals of del Nido Cardioplegia

There are several important differences between neonatal and adult myocardium. While some of these

Table 1: Del Nido cardioplegia solution composition.

| 1 L Plasma-Lyte A base solution (140 mEq/L sodium, 5 mEq/L potassium, 3 mEq/L magnesium, 98 mEq/L chloride, 27 mEq/L acetate, and 23 mEq/L gluconate; Baxter Healthcare Corporation, Deerfield, IL) |
|--|
| Mannitol 20%, 16.3 mL |
| Magnesium sulfate 50%, 4 mL |
| Sodium bicarbonate 8.4%, 13 mL |
| Potassium chloride (2 mEq/mL), 13 mL |
| Lidocaine 1%, 13 mL |
| |
| |

nuances are still debated [1], the neonatal myocardium is likely less tolerant of ischemia, and more sensitive to an increase in intracellular calcium, particularly during reperfusion [1-4]. To address this increased vulnerability, del Nido cardioplegia was designed to provide additional myocardial protection by preventing intracellular sodium -and in turn Ca²⁺- accumulation in the cells and to support anaerobic metabolism to maintain intracellular high energy phosphate reserves during ischemia.

Preventing accumulation of intracellular calcium during ischemia

Electrical arrest of the heart is achieved via depolarization. This is generally achieved by the delivery of high dose potassium, often in conjunction with hypothermia. This strategy has the advantage of providing a quick arrest. However, depolarization also leads to intracellular accumulation of sodium and calcium, which has been associated with poor myocardial recovery following reperfusion [5,6].

Myocardial function is driven by the influx and efflux of Ca²⁺, with influx inducing actin and myosin interactions that result in contraction. The influx of Na²⁺- and in turn Ca²⁺- can induce energy-consuming contracture, both promoting ischemia and irreversible mitochondrial injury [1]. Addition of a sodium channel blocker can therefore decrease harmful intracellular accumulation of Ca²⁺. In del Nido Cardioplegia, lidocaine is used for this purpose due to its longer half-life (as compared to procaine in St Thomas cardioplegia), and yet relatively quick onset of action. This longer halflife has the added advantage of orchestrating a slower resumption of electrical activity after reperfusion, which allows recovery of mitochondria and ATP before intracellular Ca2+ pumps resume function. Magnesium cations are also added to compete with Ca²⁺ influx [5,7].

Optimizing aerobic and anaerobic metabolism

The goal of cardioplegia is to meet the ongoing energy needs of the arrested heart by decreasing the overall energy requirement and optimizing metabolism of the nonperfused heart. A significant innovation of del Nido solution was the addition of hyper-oxygenated blood (comprising 20% of the total solution). Functionally, the cardioplegia solution is "made" once the patient is on cardiopulmonary bypass by mixing 4 parts cardioplegia solution with 1 part blood which has been fully oxygenated by the cardiopulmonary bypass circuit (often with pO_2 of > 500 mmHg). This provides a limited reservoir of O_2 for aerobic metabolism in the coronary arteries during arrest. Additionally, red blood cells provide a potent buffer via intracellular carbonic anhydrase (HCO₂ \rightarrow CO₂ and H₂O), and scavenge free radicals including superoxide anion, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl [1].

Another innovation of del Nido cardioplegia was recognition that exogenous glucose is toxic during periods of ischemia [8]. This is likely due to the fact that glucose transporters (GLUT-4) also carry sodium into the cell, and thus contribute to the accumulation of intracellular Ca²⁺ as discussed above. This relationship between exogenous glucose and intracellular calcium influx likely explains the observation that blood cardioplegia solutions based on 5% dextrose require multi-dosing to maintain some aerobic metabolism and hyperpolarization.

As such, del Nido cardioplegia relies on endogenous glycogen breakdown for anaerobic metabolism, which is ample in healthy myocardium. This anaerobic metabolism is further supported by the addition of red blood cells and added bicarbonate to neutralize free hydrogen ions, which are otherwise inhibitory to anaerobic metabolism. Increased anaerobic lactate production is likely particularly advantageous during early reperfusion, when energy requirements rapidly increase.

Other components of del nido cardioplegia

Del Nido cardioplegia solution also contains mannitol for free radical scavenging, and to reduce myocardial cell swelling [2,9-12]. This may be particularly advantageous

Table 2: Comparison of acalcemic with trace calcium containing cardioplegia.

| Acalcemic cardioplegia | Trace calcium containing cardioplegia | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| NaCl 27 mmol/L | NaCI 27 mmol/L | |
| KCI 30 mmol/L | KCI 30 mmol/L | |
| MgSO ₄ 3 mmol/L | MgSO ₄ 3 mmol/L | |
| Glucose 83 mmol/L | Glucose 83 mmol/L | |
| L-Histidine 195 mmol/L | L-Histidine 195 mmol/L | |
| THAM 4 | CaCl ₂ 70 µmol/L | |
| рН 7.8 | THAM 4 | |
| | pH 7.8 | |

in long bypass cases with large volume transfusion, such as many complex neonatal cases.

At Boston Children's hospital, Plasma-Lyte A is used as the crystalloid base. However, no difference base been found between Plasma-Lyte A as base and other crystalloid solutions with a physiologic sodium (Lactated Ringers) [13,14]. Plasma-Lyte A has the possible advantage of containing no calcium, such that the only source of calcium is from the added red blood cells. Interestingly, cardioplegia solutions with trace calcium have historically out-performed acalcemic preparations (the calcium paradox) (Table 2) [15].

At our institution, del Nido cardioplegia is passed through cooling coils on ice prior to delivery, yielding a delivery temperature 8-12 °C [1]. This hypothermia decreases metabolic demand of the myocardium, while also facilitating arrest.

Technical considerations

The patent for del Nido cardioplegia expired in 2003 and as such, the solution can now be made in-house or purchased from vendors (Table 3) [16]. This has contributed to its increased use in the US and abroad.

At our institution, it is generally administered as a single 20-mL/kg dose, with a maximum arresting dose of 1 L for patients larger than 50 kg [1]. Additional cardioplegia doses are given under special circumstances, including hypertrophy, aortic insufficiency, and surgeon preference [1]. In the North America survey published in 2013, the average redosing interval was ~45 min [17],

| Table 3: Methods to | obtain del | Nido ca | ardioplegia | at select US |
|----------------------|------------|---------|-------------|--------------|
| pediatric hospitals. | | | | |

| Institution | Methods to Obtain del Nido Cardioplegia | |
|---|--|---------------|
| | Vendor | Made in House |
| Toronto Sick Kids (Toronto) | | Х |
| Boston Children's Hospital (Boston) | X | X |
| Children Hospital of Philadelphia (Philadelphia) | х | |
| Nicklaus Children's Hospital (Miami) | X | X |
| Emory Children's Center (Atlanta) | | X |
| Lurie Children's (Chicago) | x | |
| UCSF Benioff Children's Hospital (San Francisco) | x | |
| Lucile Packard Children's Hospital (Palo Alto) | x | |
| Seattle Children's Hospital (Seattle) | x | X |
| Texas Children's Hospital (Houston) | | X |

Based on personal communication in Sep 2024

though in our experience it can be dosed less frequently than this without myocardial injury. While there is no data available, it may be advantageous to limit the mechanical trauma associated with the delivery of cardioplegia, particularly to neonatal coronaries. At Boston Children's, we utilize a modified delivery system with 1 cc of dead space to ensure a full dose of cardioplegia is delivered to the patient, which may further contribute to infrequent need to redoes [1].

Comparative efficacy

There is an emerging body of literature comparing the efficacy of del Nido cardioplegia versus other conventional solutions in adult patients [18-24]. Most studies include relatively short, low complexity cases [25-27]. For example, Kim, et al. at the Cleveland Clinic retrospectively reviewed the use of de Nido to Buckberg blood cardioplegia in adults undergoing aortic or mitral valve repair. They found no difference with respect to safety, and suggested possible advantages including fewer interruptions to re-dose, easier glycemic control, and reduced surgical time [25]. However, at present there are no prospective clinical trials of del Nido cardioplegia in pediatric or adult populations. Give the increasing use in adults, there is need for a comprehensive assessment of myocardial protection, including myocardial enzymes, echocardiogram data, cardiac output, and overall outcomes, particularly in complex adult cases [26].

Conclusion

Here we reviewed the pertinent physiology of del Nido cardioplegia. While its efficacy is widely recognized, further study is needed for a comprehensive assessment of del Nido cardioplegia versus other cardioplegia solutions in both pediatric and adult patient populations.

Financial Support

This work was in part supported by R21HD109119 (K.Y.).

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- 1. Matte GS, del Nido PJ. History and use of del Nido cardioplegia solution at Boston Children's Hospital. J Extra Corpor Technol. 2012;44(3):98-103.
- Kempsford RD, Hearse DJ. Protection of the immature myocardium during global ischemia. A comparison of four clinical cardioplegic solutions in the rabbit heart. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1989;97(6):856-863.
- Magovern JA, Pae WE, Jr., Waldhausen JA. Protection of the immature myocardium. An experimental evaluation of topical cooling, single-dose, and multiple-dose administration of St. Thomas' Hospital cardioplegic solution. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1988;96(3):408-413.

- Wittnich C, Peniston C, Ianuzzo D, Abel JG, Salerno TA. Relative vulnerability of neonatal and adult hearts to ischemic injury. Circulation. 1987;76(5 Pt 2):V156-V160.
- Dobson GP, Letson HL. Adenosine, lidocaine, and Mg2+ (ALM): From cardiac surgery to combat casualty care--Teaching old drugs new tricks. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2016;80(1):135-145.
- Onorati F, Dobson GP, San Biagio L, Abbasciano R, Fanti D, et al. Superior myocardial protection using "polarizing" adenosine, lidocaine, and mg2+ cardioplegia in humans. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;67(14):1751-1753.
- O'Brien JD, Howlett SE, Burton HJ, O'Blenes SB, Litz DS, et al. Pediatric cardioplegia strategy results in enhanced calcium metabolism and lower serum troponin T. Ann Thorac Surg. 2009;87(5):1517-1523.
- del Nido PJ, Wilson GJ, Mickle DA, Bush BG, Rebeyka IM, et al. The role of cardioplegic solution buffering in myocardial protection. A biochemical and histopathological assessment. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1985;89(5):689-699.
- Ferreira R, Burgos M, Llesuy S, Molteni L, Milei J, et al. Reduction of reperfusion injury with mannitol cardioplegia. Ann Thorac Surg. 1989;48(1):77-83; discussion -4.
- 10. Goto R, Tearle H, Steward DJ, Ashmore PG. Myocardial oedema and ventricular function after cardioplegia with added mannitol. Can J Anaesth. 1991;38(1):7-14.
- Larsen M, Webb G, Kennington S, Kelleher N, Sheppard J, et al. Mannitol in cardioplegia as an oxygen free radical scavenger measured by malondialdehyde. Perfusion. 2002;17(1):51-55.
- Powell WJ Jr., DiBona DR, Flores J, Frega N, Leaf A. Effects of hyperosmotic mannitol in reducing ischemic cell swelling and minimizing myocardial necrosis. Circulation. 1976;53(3 Suppl):145-149.
- Kantathut N, Krathong P, Khajarern S, Leelayana P, Cherntanomwong P. Comparison of lactated Ringer's solution and Plasma-Lyte A as a base solution for del Nido cardioplegia: a prospective randomized trial. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg. 2024;65(3).
- Kantathut N, Cherntanomwong P, Khajarern S, Leelayana P. Lactated ringer's as a base solution for del nido cardioplegia. J Extra Corpor Technol. 2019;51(3):153-159.
- 15. Rebeyka IM, Axford-Gatley RA, Bush BG, del Nido PJ, Mickle DA, et al. Calcium paradox in an in vivo model of multidose cardioplegia and moderate hypothermia. Prevention with diltiazem or trace calcium levels. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 1990;99(3):475-483.
- 16. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; Alexandria V, inventor patent 5,407,793. 1995.
- Kotani Y, Tweddell J, Gruber P, Pizarro C, Austin EH, 3rd, et al. Current cardioplegia practice in pediatric cardiac surgery: A North American multiinstitutional survey. Ann Thorac Surg. 2013;96(3):923-929.
- Elassal AA, Al-Ebrahim K, Al-Radi O, Zaher ZF, Dohain AM, et al. Myocardial protection by blood-based del nido versus st. thomas cardioplegia in cardiac surgery for adults and children. Heart Surg Forum. 2020;23(5):E689-E695.
- Garg SK, Rawat RS, Saad DA, Omar I. Del nido cardioplegia in adults: a retrospective observational study in comparison to modified St. Thomas cardioplegia in cardiac surgery. J Cardiothorac Surg. 2024;19(1):266.

- 20. Guajardo Salinas GE, Nutt R, Rodriguez-Araujo G. Del Nido cardioplegia in low risk adults undergoing first time coronary artery bypass surgery. Perfusion. 2017;32(1):68-73.
- 21. Sazzad F, Ong ZX, Ong GS, Luo HD, Guim Goh S, et al. Non-selective Del Nido and St Thomas cardioplegia in adults: Analysis of early clinical experience using propensity matching. Ther Adv Cardiovasc Dis. 2023;17:17539447231210713.
- 22. Sevuk U, Dursun S, Ar ES. Tepid modified del nido cardioplegia in adults undergoing cardiac surgery: A propensity-matched analysis. Braz J Cardiovasc Surg. 2022;37(6):793-800.
- 23. Sinha P, Jonas RA. Time for a randomized prospective trial of single dose del Nido cardioplegia solution in adults. Perfusion. 2016;31(1):34-37.
- Stoitsev G, Gavrilov V, Goranovska V, Manchev G, Gegouskov V. Cold modified Del Nido cardioplegia in adults undergoing elective cardiac coronary surgery. Folia Med (Plovdiv). 2023;65(5):760-769.
- 25. Kim K, Ball C, Grady P, Mick S. Use of del nido cardioplegia for adult cardiac surgery at the cleveland clinic: Perfusion implications. J Extra Corpor Technol. 2014;46(4):317-323.
- Ad N. del Nido cardioplegia: ready for prime time in adult cardiac surgery? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2015;149(2):637-638.
- 27. Waterford SD, Ad N. Del Nido cardioplegia: Questions and (some) answers. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2023;165(3):1104-1108.

Citation: Longacre M, Ibla JC, Yuki K. Physiology of Cardiac Arrest with del Nido Cardioplegia. Transl Perioper Pain Med 2025; 12(1):697-700

Copyright: © 2025 Longacre M, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Corresponding Author: Koichi Yuki, MD, Division of Cardiac Anesthesia, Department of Anesthesiology, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Boston Children's Hospital, 300 Longwood Avenue, Boston, MA, 02115, USA, Tel: 1-617-599-7554, E-mail: koichi.yuki@childrens. harvard.edu

Editor: Renyu Liu, MD; PhD; Professor, Department of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Center of Penn Global Health Scholar, 336 John Morgan building, 3620 Hamilton Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA, Fax: 2153495078, E-mail: RenYu.Liu@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Additional publication details

Journal short name: Transl Perioper Pain Med

Received Date: January 24, 2025

Accepted Date: February 09, 2025

Published Date: February 10, 2025